UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,356	01/04/2005	Mami Uchida	09812.0358-00000	5519	
22852 7590 12/16/2009 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER		
			ANDRAMUNO, FRANKLIN S		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2424		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,356	UCHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

FF	RANKLIN S. ANDRAMUNO	2424					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repl application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the billion of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advission on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Months of the final Rejection. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later thar may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ion and the corresponding amount o ened statutory period for reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensio Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed and the proposed amendment (sometimes of the proposed forms of the p	eration and/or search (see NOT form for appeal by materially red	E below); ucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So possible and the following rejection(s):  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.	able if submitted in a separate, ti will not be entered, or b)   will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and su was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a New entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and</li> </ol>	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea d was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but do <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowand	ce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PT0</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Christopher Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on page 3 third paragraph, "even assuming that Margulis can manipulate image switch modes, which applicants do not concede, this manipulation occurs by subsystem processor (518). While applicant's point is understood, examiner disagrees. Margulis teaches on figure 3 the remote controller screen and remote controls. The purpose of a remote control is to send signals back and fourth with a device. There must be several buttons to exchange signals between a device and the remote control..